SHORT COURSE 3 REV ESP PATOL

- Churg A. Pulmonaty angiitis and granulomatosis revisited Hum Pathol 1978; 89: 660.
- Felibaum C, Domej W, Popper H. Rheumatoid arthritis with extensive lung lesions. Thorax 1989: 44: 70-71.
- Freimann Dc, Hardy HL. Beryllium disease: The relation of pulmonary pathology to clinical course and prognosis based on a studyof 130 cases from the U.S. beryllium case registry Hum Pathol 1970; 1: 25-44.
- Hammar SR Granulomatous vasculitis. Semin Respir Infect 1995; 10: 107-120.
- Housini I, Tomashefski JF Jr, Cohen A at al. Tranabronchial biopsy in patients with pulmonary eosinophilic granuloma. Comparison with findings on open lung biopsy Arch Pathol Lab Med 1994; 118: 523-530.
- Katzenstein AL, Askin FB. Systemic diseases involving the lung. In: Surgical Pathology of Non-neoplastic Lung Disease. Saunders 1990; 235.
- Klatt EO, Jensen OF, Meyer PR. Pathology of Mycobactedum avium-intracellulare infection in acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. Hum Pathol 1987; 18: 709-714.
- Kiemen H, Bogistzis A, Staufer F et 51. The use of multiplex PCR for a rapid detection of atypical Mycobacteria and Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex in clinical samples. Diagn Mol Pathol 1999 (in press).
- Kreiss K, Wasserman 5, Mroz MM at al. Beryllium disease screening in the ceramics industry: Blood lymphocyte test performance and exposure-disease relations. J Occup Med 1993; 35: 267-274.
- Liebom AA. The J. Burns Amberson Lecture: Pulmonary anglitis and granulomatosis. Am Rev Respir Dis 1973; 108:1.
- Medlar EM. The behavior of pulmonary tuberculosis lesions. A pathologic study Am Rev Tuberc Pulm Dis 1955; 71: 1-244.
- Muns G, West WW, Gurney J at al. Non-sarcoid granulomatous disease with involvement of the lungs. Sarcoidosis 1995; 12: 99-110.
- Myers JL, Kstzenstein AL. Granulomatous infection mimicking bronchocentric granulomatosis. Am J Surg Pathol 1986; 10: 317-322.
- Piergili Fioretti D, Moretti A, Mughetti L atal. Eosinophilia, granuloma formation, migratory behaviour of second stage larvae in murine Toxocara canis infection. Effect of the inoculum size. Parassitologia 1989; 31: 153-166.
- Popper HH, Kiemen H, Churg A at al. Necrotizing sarcoid granulomatosis.
 Sarcoidosis 1997; 14(Suppl. 1): 33.
- Popper HH, Kiemen H, Hbtler G at al. Presence of mycobacterial DNA in sarcoidosis. Hum Pathol 1997; 28: 796-800.
- Popper HH. Epitheloid cell granulomatosis of the lung: new insights and concepts. In: Sarcoidosis, Vasculitis, and other Diffuse Lung Diseases (in press).
- Ridley OS, Ridley MJ. Rationale for the histological spectrum of tuberculosis. A basis for classification. Pathology 1987; 19: 186-192.
- Romeo L, Cazzadori A, Bontempini L, Martini S. Interstitial lung granulomas as a possible consequence of exposure to zirconium dust. Med Lay 1994; 85: 219-222.
- Sharma OP, Fujimura N. Hypersensitivity pnoumonitis: A noninfectious granulomatosis. Semin Respir Infect 1995; 10: 96-106.
- Uehlinger EA. Morbid anatomy of pulmonary sarcoidosis. Am Rev Respir Dis 1961; 84: 6-13.

Pulmonary emphysema revisited

E. Alvarez-Fernandez

Hospifal General Universitario Oregorlo Marafc5n, Madrid, Spain.

Despite the number of publications generated from the 1950s to the 1960s, the interest of pathologists and clinicians in the pathology of pulmonary emphysema seems to have dissipated over the years. Several reasons can account for this. Pathological lesions are well enough defined to explain the clinical, functional and radiological tindings. The study of pulmonary emphysema is based on large surgical or postmortem specimens usually by means of rather complicated and "uncomfortable" techniques. The pathological studies rendered data accurate enough to understand and classify experimental emphysema as well as the forms of emphysema associated with inherited metabolic diseases.

However, it is likely that now pathology must be required to update morphological findings and the anatomoclinical correlations

within a new scenario involving the introduction of new imaging techniques, namely CTS and high resolution CT scan, the new definition of emphysema, and the need for clearly defined criteria to identify early stages of the disease. Most of these questions were previously formulated by early investigators, but a reconsideration would probably useful. For example, the following should be taken into account when considering the current definition of emphysema:

- i) What can be termed "normal size" in alveolar sacs and alveolar ducts? Distal air spaces change in size along fetal, early and late postnatal life. In addition, differences in size between alveoli from upper and lower segments have been described. Therefore, a precise definition of what can be considered normal in the adult lung is necessary. Likewise, a reformulation of the term "ductectasia" must be undertaken.
- ii) What are the criteria for destruction? Commonly admitted criteria include the presence of alveolar fenestrae and vascular strands or baffles. Both are easily seen by light microscopy when severe and extensive. However, small size early fenestrae, which precede larger ones, and the development of vascular strands require more sophisticated anatomical techniques and probably the help of quantitative methods.
- iii) Is the premise of no fibrosis valid in the current definition of emphysema? It is evident that by definition scar-related irregular emphysema has a component of fibrosis. It is also common that paraseptal emphysema have a component of fibrosis and that most cases of cenfrilobular emphysema also have some fibrous proliferation. This can be important data on the interpretation of imaging techniques.

Current knowledge allows the identification of emphysema in surgical or postmortem specimens, its classification, its quantification and a acceptable correlation with functional studies. These provide a useful tool to investigate the epidemiology of the disease in different countries, and to follow up the prevalence of the disease in the autopsied population. However, these could be considered only marginal aspects in the investigation of the disease. Future prospects of research on emphysema point mainly toward not only the epidemiology but the pathogenesis and prevention. In summary, the pathologist will play a role in developing cooperative work with the radiologist to correlate and gain structure, function and radiology to validate transversal and longitudinal studies on the prevalence and evolution of emphysema in selected population groups, to clarify and correlate the morphological facts with the molecular, inmunohistochemical and clinical studies as far as the elastase-antielastase hypothesis is concerned, and to apply all the results to the prevention of the disease.

References

- Heard BE. Pathology of Chronic Bronchitis and Emphysema. JA Churchill, London 1969
- Nagai A, Thurlbeck WM. Scanning electron microscopicobservations of emphysema in humans. A descaptive study Am Rev Reap Dis 1991:144: 901-908.
- Saetfa M, Finkeistein R, Coslo MG. Morphological and cellular basis for airflow limitation in smokers. Eur Respir J 1994; 7:1505-1515.
- Snider GL, Kleinerman J, Thurlbeck WM, at al. Report of a National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute Division of Lung Diseases Workshop. The definition of emphysema. Am Rev Rasp Dis 1985; 132: 182.
- Snider GL. Emphysema: The first two centuries and beyond. Historical overiew, with suggestions for future research. Part 2. Am Rev Rasp Dis 1992; 146:1615-1622.
- Thurlback WM. Chronic Airfiom Obstruction in Lung Disease. Major Problems in Pathology. WB Saunders Co., Philadelphia 1976; 5.
- verbekan EK, Caubarghs M, Woestiine KP. Membranous bronchioles and connective tissue network of normal and emphysematous lungs. J Appl Physiol 1996; 81: 2468-2480.